## NOTICE CONCERNING COPYRIGHT RESTRICTIONS

This document may contain copyrighted materials. These materials have been made available for use in research, teaching, and private study, but may not be used for any commercial purpose. Users may not otherwise copy, reproduce, retransmit, distribute, publish, commercially exploit or otherwise transfer any material.

The copyright law of the United States (Title 17, United States Code) governs the making of photocopies or other reproductions of copyrighted material.

Under certain conditions specified in the law, libraries and archives are authorized to furnish a photocopy or other reproduction. One of these specific conditions is that the photocopy or reproduction is not to be "used for any purpose other than private study, scholarship, or research." If a user makes a request for, or later uses, a photocopy or reproduction for purposes in excess of "fair use," that user may be liable for copyright infringement.

This institution reserves the right to refuse to accept a copying order if, in its judgment, fulfillment of the order would involve violation of copyright law.

THE CHARACTERIZATION OF CRACK STRUCTURE CONTROLLING PERMEABILITY USING VELOCITY-PRESSURE DATA

Nick Warren

University of California Institute of Geophysics and Planetary Physics Los Angeles, California 90024

Work performed under grants from the U.S. Energy Research and Development Administration (contract no. EY-76-S-03-0034) and the Los Alamos Scientific Laboratory (contract no. RFQ NP8-14780).

Expressions for permeability and elastic moduli can be derived. Such expressions provide a means of modeling the microstructure controlling permeability and velocity and they elucidate the feasibility of predicating the functional dependence of permeability on pressure from independent determination of microstructure, such as from ultrasonic data.

An equation for the diffusion of fluid through cracked rock has been derived by H. Fisher [1], and involves explicitly the permeability  $\underline{k}$ , and the pressure derivative of a "conduit porosity"  $\theta$ , with respect to pore pressure  $P_h$ .

$$\nabla \cdot (\mathbf{k} \cdot \nabla \mathbf{P}_{\mathbf{h}}) = \mu \frac{d\theta}{d\mathbf{P}_{\mathbf{h}}} \frac{\delta^{\mathbf{P}}_{\mathbf{h}}}{\delta t}$$
 (1)

In equation (1)  $\underline{\mu}$  is viscosity and  $\underline{t}$  is time. The porosity is defined by its total derivative

$$\frac{d\theta}{dP_{h}} = \frac{1}{v} \frac{dV_{h}}{dP_{h}}$$
(2)

where V is the bulk volume and V<sub>h</sub> is the pore volume at any pressure and temperature. Difficulty arises in evaluating equation (1). As Fisher notes: "The related quantities k,  $\theta$ , and  $d\theta/dP$  and in particular the dependence on pressure cannot be exactly determined since they also depend on the pore volumes." However, it is possible to approach this problem by seeking to characterize the manner in which the microstructures that form the conduits for fluid flow can be related to elastic properties such as a compliance through their effects on bulk strain and velocities.

In sufficient generality, a normalized elastic compliance  $\phi/\phi_0$ , (such as compressibility) can be expressed in terms of a "referred porosity"  $\underline{\omega}$  and its pressure derivative [2].

$$\omega = 1 - V_0 / V$$

where  $V_{o}$  is the reference solid material and

$$\frac{d\omega}{dR} = (1 - \omega)\phi_0 \cdot [\phi/\phi_0 - 1]$$
 (4)

where R is the applied stress state which is used in defining  $\phi$  (for example, R is applied pressure in the case of compressibility). All the porosity affecting the elastic deformation is involved. This is an "elastic porosity" to distinguish it from the conduit porosity.

The expression for  $(\phi/\phi_0 - 1)$  can be obtained from velocity data or from modeling theory [2,3]. Velocity-pressure data for rocks show systematics which are related to microstructure and which can be inverted into model microstructure by expressing equation (4) in terms of distributions over partial porosities  $(_1n, given by _iV_h/V)$  and pore strains  $(_ie_h/e_0)$ .

$$\phi/\phi_{o} - 1 + \eta \frac{dP_{h}}{dP} = \frac{1}{1 - \eta} \sum_{i} \eta(\frac{i^{e}h}{e_{o}})$$
 (5)

The question arises, can the conduit porosity be related to the elastic porosity? At present this question requires experiments to be answered. One cannot tell yet whether the two porosities are numerically equal, but the pressure derivatives may well be relatable. The quantity  $d\theta/dP_h$  can be stated in terms of dw/dR through the expressions for the pore strains. In equation (5) the geometries of the pores and their closure laws are not restricted. For cracks, the approximate form of the solution follows, using the distributions in a form involving a pore number density.

If the crack network is characterized by some distributions in length <u>a</u> and width <u>b</u>, then the number density distribution satisfies

$$\int_{a} \int_{b} \frac{d^2 W}{dadb} \quad dadb = N$$
 (6)

where N is the total crack number density and

$$(\phi/\phi_0 - 1) \sim \sum_{a \ b} a^3 \frac{d^2 W}{dadb} dadb$$
 (7)

121



Fig. 1 Permeability and the cubic function of acoustic data versus pressure for GT-2-9522/9519 (permeability data on 9522) from J. Potter, personal communication.

If the Kozenzy relation is assumed for permeability

$$\mathbf{k} = \mathbf{c} \cdot \theta \mathbf{\overline{b}}^2 \tag{8}$$

where <u>c</u> is a constant and  $\overline{b}$  is the characteristic crack width given by the ratio of pore volume to surface area

$$\overline{b} = \sum_{a} \sum_{b} a^{2}b \frac{d^{2}W}{dadb} dadb / \sum_{a} \sum_{b} a^{2}\frac{d^{2}W}{dadb} dadb$$
(9)

and can be obtained for a model crack spectrum fitting the velocity data. The pressure dependence of  $\underline{k}$  is thus expressible as a function of the pressure dependence of the density distribution.

Two conclusions that can be drawn are: 1) that various pressure laws are equally admissible, which may help explain why published power laws fit to permeability have shown such a wide range of exponents (from 2 or 3 to 6), and 2) that comparison of acoustic data or model-crack spectra to permeability-pressure data may elucidate the effects of tortuosity and pinch-off on the conduit porosity. Figure 1 illustrates these points. The figure shows a comparison of permeability data to a cubic function of the compressional wave velocity data for a sample of granodiorite from LASL's Fenton Hills HDR geothermal site. New Mexico [4]. The velocity-pressure data can be modeled assuming cracks with linear closure laws. The parallelness of these curves indicates that the same microcrack network controls both permeability and acoustic properties and that, based on the model, permeability goes as the average crack width (over all pores) cubed.

## References

- Fisher, H., "An interpretation of the pressure and flow data for the two fractures of the Los Alamos hot dry rock (HDR) geothermal system," Energy Resource and Excavation Technology Proceedings: 18th U.S. Symposium on Rock Mechanics, June, 1977.
- [2] Warren, N. and R. Nashner, "Theoretical calculation of the compliance of a porous medium," in <u>The Physics and Chemistry of</u> <u>Minerals and Rocks</u>, John Wiley & Sons, 1976.
- [3] Warren, N., "Characterization of Modulus-Pressure Systematics of Rocks: Dependence on Microstructure," published in Monograph-20 of the American Geophysical Union, 1977.
- [4] Trice, R. and N. Warren, "Preliminary Study on the Correlation of Acoustic Velocity and Permeability in Two Granodiorites from LASL Fenton Hill Deep Borehole, GT-2, Near the Valles Caldera, New Mexico," July, 1977.